		•
C. 11 -	With	
	wwith	

## SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO:_ABP_3 4485-22_	Defer Re O/H
Having considered the contents of the submission of from  Raymond and Cornel Fox I recommend that see betnot be invoked at this stage for the following reasons.	ction 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
E.O.: Pat B	
For further consideration by SEO/SAO	
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.	
Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply.	1
S.E.O.:	Date:
S.A.O:	Date:
M	
Please prepare BP Section 131 notice submission	1
to: Task No:	
Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP	
EO:	Date:
AA:	Date:

File W	/ith	
1 110 44	1011	 

# CORRESPONDENCE FORM

CORRESPONDE	
Appeal No: ABP 3 14 485 - 22	
M Please treat correspondence received on29 <i>1</i> 0	3/2024 as follows:
2. Acknowledge with 5.	RETURN TO SENDER with BP  Keep Envelope:  Keep Copy of Board's letter
Amendments/Comments Raymond and Carmel F	ox response to 5.131
4. Attach to file  (a) R/S	RETURN TO EO
EO: Pot B	Plans Date Stamped  Date Stamped Filled in  AA: Anthony Mc Nally  Date: 25/04/2024
Date: 07/04/20 24	Date: 25/04/2024

### Fergal Ryan

From:

Bord

Sent:

02 April 2024 09:43

To:

Appeals2

Subject:

FW: ABP-314485-22 / F20A-0668

**Attachments:** 

Submission Observation ABP 314485-22.pdf; Noise Monitoring Rpt Jul-Dec

2023.pdf

From: Carmel Fox <carmelfox100@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, March 29, 2024 4:49 PM

To: Bord <br/>
<br/>
bord@pleanala.ie>

**Subject:** ABP-314485-22 / F20A-0668

**Caution:** This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Good afternoon,

Attached please find our submission / observation reference to the above number.

Kind regards

Raymond & Carmel Fox

Viewfield House

Millhead

St Margaret's

Co Dublin

### Ref: CASE # ABP- 314485-22 / Planning Ref: F20A/0668

### To whom it may concern:

We wish to make a submission / observation regarding the above submission from Tom Phillips and Associates on behalf of DAA plc.

Night-time rest hours are recognised as 10pm to 7am and the DAA has already stolen 1 hour of valuable rest time off us while operating the North Runway from 10pm – 11pm. It is unacceptable, given that we are so close to the North Runway, to give the DAA any extra time operating the North Runway, and deprive us of our sleep.

Our house is only 270m from the flight path of North Runway and in the longitudinal corridor. Our house has recently been insulated under the Residential Noise Insulation Scheme and we have noticed very little difference in noise levels inside our home. We are currently getting readings of up to 70DB daily indoors, with windows and doors closed. No amount of insulation can improve our living conditions. The only peace that we get is from 11pm to 7am when we can get some sleep. Night-time flights **must not** be extended past these hours for the sake of our mental health and well-being.

Please see the attached report from DAA referencing Noise Monitoring from July – December 2023. The monitor @ Millhead was installed in our garden for this period of time. You will see from this report that there we 22,853 events in Quarter 4, and the average Lden was 66.5DB. This is an average figure. We are experiencing over 90DB in our garden with some SEL's and our house is physically shaking. These noise levels are unacceptable on a daily basis, not to mention during the night hours.

Recently a Dutch court ordered the authorities to reign in noise pollution @ Schiphol Airport in Amsterdam. Aircraft traffic has caused a serious nuisance to the health and well-being of people who live near this airport, one of Europe's busiest aviation hubs. A Dutch court ruled, saying that the treatment of local residents amounts to a breach of Europe's Human Rights Convention. In this case the judge said "more attention must be paid to local residents and the reduction of noise pollution". ABP should also follow this example. If the night time flights are allowed go ahead at North Runway, Dublin Airport, then ABP / DAA are in breach of our Human Rights.

In conclusion, the DAA are continuously in breach of their planning conditions by not sticking with the flight paths in there original planning application, they are not sticking to the 65 night time movements as per original planning conditions. This demonstrates, very clearly, that the DAA has a sense of entitlement and feel that they are above the laws of this country.

We are requesting that ABP reject this application and puts local residents first.

Yours sincerely

Raymond Fox

Carmel Fox



# Supplement to Noise Monitoring Report Jul-Dec 2023 page 4/5

Measured NMT Quarterly Aircraft Noise Lden (dBA)

(with number of correlated DUB Aircraft Noise Events)

2023 (Including new NMT)

		2023							
		01		0,2		03		42	
LOCID	Location	Lden	Events	Lden	Events	Lden	Events	Lden	Events
н	Bay Lane	63.6	11311	66.1	13883	63.3	5685	63.6	4769
2	St. Doolaghs	64.2	24852	64.3	29563	65.3	33166	64.3	22706
ო	Bishopswood	55.5	10200	57.3	14562	58.6	17047	58.2	15473
4	Feltrim	50.9	2746	51.1	4330	50.3	2268	52.1	3699
5	Balcultry	51.7	1878	43.8	103	39.9	123	38.7	87
ဖ	St. Davids	39.4	106	38.0	267	38.0	156	36.9	119
7	Swords	39.8	132	47.5	107	46.6	125	38.8	121
∞	Malahide	38.7	265	38.3	525	39.3	539	36.6	260
101	St. Margarets Nat Sch	63.7	17653	62.2	13981	63.9	22065	63.7	18270
20	OP	63.0	16677	60.7	14067	63.6	30963	62.5	25153
56	Kilcoskan Nat Sch	55.9	8124	56.5	7383	61.3	22235	60.2	17000
77	Summerhill					28.6	34	31.7	88
88	Newpark					9.09	13011	61.4	19089
82	Ashbourne RC					35.0	218	46.9	905
8	Roundwood GAA							12.3	↔
31	Dunboyne EMR					(49.4)	(974)	50.7	1852
32	Donabate							0	0
33	Ardgillan							25.1	3
204	Milhead					62.5	1428	66.5	22853